

cc'. Mite Suffita &

Michael O. Leavitt Governor Robert L. Morgan Executive Director Lowell P. Braxton Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210 PO Box 145801 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801 (801) 538-5340 telephone (801) 359-3940 fax (801) 538-7223 TTY www.nr.utah.gov

July 5, 2002

TO:

Internal File

THRU:

Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM:

James D. Smith, Senior Reclamation Specialist

RE:

2001 Fourth Quarter Water Monitoring, CO-OP Mining Company, Bear Canyon

Mine, C/015/025-WQ01-4

1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?

YES [] NO [X]

Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

No flow at BC-3 and BC-4;

SDH-2: October data not reported;

SDH-3: October data not reported;

MW-114 and MW-115: data not in EDI database and paper records were not submitted;

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data. See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

Renewal submittal due 07/02/00, renewal due 11/02/00. Baseline parameters are to be taken in August of year 5 prior to each permit renewal (Table 7.1-8). Baseline parameters were measured August 2000 and included with the Third Quarter 2000 data submittal.

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site?

YES [X]

NO[]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:



Page 2 C/015/025-WQ01-4 July 5, 2002

4. Were irregularities found in the data? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES [X]	NO []
SBC-14: total alkalinity (not a required parameter, n deviation range;	a = 16) was outsid	le the two st	tandard
5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?			
	1 st month,	YES[]	NO [X]
	2 nd month,	YES[]	NO [X]
Identify sites and months not monitored:	3 rd month,	YES[]	NO [X]
There are no DMRs, field reports, or EDI database da 003, -006, and -007 for fourth quarter. There are no DMRs of UTG040006 -004, but data for some parameters are in the E	or field reports fo		-002, -
6. Were all required DMR parameters reported? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES[]	К] ОИ	K]
UPDES UTG040006 –004 – November: DMR mini	mum pH not repo	orted;	
UPDES UTG040006 –004 – October and December: reported:	: DMR 30-day av	verage TSS	not
UPDES UTG040006 –004 – October, November, and TSS not reported:	d <u>December</u> : DN	/IR 7-day av	/erage
UPDES UTG040006 –004 – <u>October</u> and <u>December</u> : reported:	: DMR daily max	ximum TSS	not
UPDES UTG040006 -004 - December: DMR total	iron daily maxim	um not rep	orted:
UPDES UTG040006 –004 – <u>December</u> : DMR daily	max TDS DMR	not reporte	ed:
UPDES UTG040006 –004 – <u>December</u> : DMR visib reported.	le solids and sani	tary waste 1	not

Page 3 C/015/025-WQ-01-4 July 5, 2002

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?

YES [] NO [X]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

Irregularities in the MRP operational data do not appear significant and no further action is recommended other than watching for possible trends;

The permittee needs to submit the DMR information for UPDES UTG040006 -002, -003, -006, and -007, and submit complete information on UPDES UTG040006 -004

The permittee needs to submit October data for SDH-2 and SDH-3 and fourth quarter data for MW-114 and MW-115.

O:\015025.BCN\WATER QUALITY\JDSWQ 01-4.DOC